

This form is provided to suggest rule changes to the Divisions of the Department of Revenue

This form must be completed in its entirety, prior to submission for consideration by the Division.

Last Name Sasso		_	First Name Justin			
Company/Organization Colorado Broadcasters Association			Job Title President and CEO			
Date	Contact Phone Number		Email Addre	ess		
27 August 2013	720 440-2221		justin@c	coloradobroadca	asters.org	
☑ Check if interes	sted in being added to	rule distribution	lists			
	Check which divi	sion rules you	are addres	ssing (check ap	plicable division)	
☐ Auto Industry		☐ Lottery		[	Racing Events	
☐ Gaming			arijuana		☐ Taxation	
☐ Hearings		✓ Marijuana		Г	Unknown/Othe	er
☐ Liquor/Tobacco	Enforcement	☐ Motor Vehi	cle			
Rule Name and/or Rule				Section and Page	Number of Rule	
Permanent Rules	Related to Colorado R	etail Marijuana (	Code		106 R1105 Page	107
Suggest wording						
Our suggestion is t	hat Rules 1104 and 11	105 be stricken f	rom the ru	les.		
			m(c)	т	Ear Donaste	mant Ilaa Only
				-		nent Use Only
				1	Tracking #	



This form is provided to suggest rule changes to the Divisions of the Department of Revenue

This form must be completed in its entirety, prior to submission for consideration by the Division.

#### Basic justification for suggested change:

The proposed regulations are problematic for a variety of reasons. First and foremost, it treats different types of advertising mediums differently. There is no reason that radio and television stations should be subject to different restrictions than other advertising mediums by the State of Colorado.

Secondly, the framers of Amendment 64 stated that the intent of the proposal was to regulate marijuana in the same way that alcohol is regulated. There should not be restrictions on marijuana advertising that is more restrictive than on alcohol advertising.

Additionally, radio and television stations are licensed by the Federal Communications Commission and subject to federal oversight. It is not appropriate for the state to impose regulations on them.

It is appropriate for the State of Colorado to prohibit deceptive, false or misleading statements (as suggested in R1102). Regulations that treat different advertising mediums to meet that requirement differently constitute an indefensible disparity that is unfair, bad regulatory policy and of questionable constitutionality.

#### Examples when the current rule caused a problem/confusion:

Please provide real life examples

As these are new rules, they have not caused a current problem or confusion. Prospectively, rules that treat advertising on radio and television differently and more restrictively than other mediums is unfair, bad regulatory policy and of questionable constitutionality.

For Department Use Only

Tracking #



This form is provided to suggest rule changes to the Divisions of the Department of Revenue This form must be completed in its entirety, prior to submission for consideration by the Division.

Explain how the change would affect/benefit the industry:	
Our proposed suggestion to strike R 1104 and 1105 would benefit the industrices for advertising that does not treat different advertising mediums differentiements that (as in version two) cannot be reasonably established and bad regulatory policy and of questionable constitutionality.	erently, does not contain regulatory
Explain how the change would affect/benefit the Division impacted:	
The suggested changes to eliminate R 1104 and 1105 will benefit the Divisi regulatory provisions in version two that would be difficult at best to prove. and 1105 will not put the Division in the position of needing to defend rules the advertising medium and by the product being advertised against inevital advertised against inevital series.	More importantly, the elimination of R 1104 that provide for disparate treatment both by
	For Department Use Only



This form is provided to suggest rule changes to the Divisions of the Department of Revenue

This form must be completed in its entirety, prior to submission for consideration by the Division.

Explain how the change would affect/benefit the public:						
ex: making the rules easier to understand, increasing efficiency/ effectivene	ss, fairness, etc.					
The public will benefit because the State of Colorado will not be implementing rules that are inherently unfair and of						
questionable constitutionality. The public will benefit if its state government is not defending lawsuits against rules that						
are unfair, bad regulatory policy and of questionable constitutionality	y.					
List any documents you have to support the proposed rule cha	nge:					
Not applicable.						
You will be notified via email or mail once a disposition has been determ	inad by the Division. If amail is no	ot avai	lable places			
complete contact information below:	ined by the Division. If email is no	ot avai	lable please			
complete contact morniation bolow.						
Mailing Address						
Street Address	City	State	Zip			
333 W. Hampden Avenue #620	Englewood	CO	80110			
Please return this request by email to: dor_ruleform@state.co.us or	by mailing the request to the fo	llowin	g address:			

Colorado Department of Revenue Executive Director's Office RE: Rules 1375 Sherman Street, Room 409 Denver, Colorado 80261

For Depart	ment Use Only
Tracking #	



This form is provided to suggest rule changes to the Divisions of the Department of Revenue This form must be completed in its entirety, prior to submission for consideration by the Division.

For Division Use C	Only
Reviewer Name Reviewer Title	Date Reviewed
Disposition:	
☐ To be submitted for consideration of rule change	
<ul> <li>Denied (i.e. conflicts with state statute, exceeds funding or re justification, etc.) See comments</li> </ul>	sources limits, needs additional clarification or
Comments:	
	For Department Use Only
	Tracking #